# The structure of morphological characteristics and basic motor skills of the typical game of basketball junior

## ARTAN R. KRYEZIU<sup>1</sup>, ISA ASLLANI<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University Fama, Public University of Gjilan & Center for Research, Studies in Physical Education, Sport and Health (CRSPES) Pristina, R. Kosovo,<sup>2</sup>Faculty of Physical Education, State University of Tetova - Macedonia

(Accepted 15 october 2016)

## Abstract:

This research has explored the factor of stucture for some morphologicalvariables and motor skills of the junior basketball league. The experiment was done on a sample of 54 young basketballplayers aged 17 years.Latent factors were extracted which is used factorial analysis and havewon two latent factors whose morphological has been appointed: general factor for growth anddevelopment, as well as factor transversal body. While factoring basic motor skills have won four of situational factors which are labeled as: factor of explosive strength and speed optionlower limbs, factor flexibility and accuracy (precision) situational and factor precision shooting.Conclusions reached in this paper that the factorial structure defined space important factors in morphological characteristics and basic motor testsand the typical game of basketball tojunior players.

Keywords: morphology, motorskills, game of basketball, factor analysis,

## Interoduction:

The basketball game is very dynamic and popular game, which is very attractive to young people (Hofman, J.R., et al... 2000). The game of basketball is a complex activity and task requirements which are specific skills selected for each player, depending on his position in the team, which performs certain tasks in the game of basketball (Trninić, S., et al...2010). Morphological performance and motor skills are veryimportant components in the development of the game of basketball. Therefore basket ballplayers required by professional goal setting work structures important for the development of anthropological status.(Trninić, S. 1996; Vasiljev, R. et al...2003; Trninić, S. et al...2010; TrninićM. et al...2012; Kryeziu, A.2013; Kocić, J. et al...2013; Aruković, Z. 2013, Kiki, A. et al...2015). According factorial procedure, the latent space such as explosive factor downstream of the extremities, the flexibility factor, the factor of precision (accuracy) and the factor of precision(accuracy) of the situation of the game. Based on data that are highlighted in this paper, the research concluded that values experiment are a special importance to working with the junior league players (Salihu, H.,Asllani, I., Kryeziu, A. R., Elshani, Q.2013). In theirpaper injuniorbasketball players in basicmotor tests of situcionale typical game of basketball,in whichtheywere extracted the followingfactors: Explosive power factor and agility, factor accuracy (precision) typical game of basketball, Recurring power factor (repetitive) (Kryeziu A. 2016).

## The purpose of the paper-experiment:

The purpose of the paper-experiment is the structure of morphological characteristics and basic motor of typical situational game of basketball junior.

## **Research methods:**

## The sample (model) of entities

In the survey included 54 junior basketball league age 17 years. The players are members of two basketball schools, Drita from Gjilan and Sigal Pristina from Pristina, they are involved in basketball training program, approximately 2 years, exercised 3 times a week as well as 1 hour and 15 minutes per day.

## The sample of variables:

In this paper are applied there (13) variables, five are from morphological space, while seven are in basic motor and space situational.

The variables:

Morphological space:

BOWE - Body weight; BOHE - Body height; ARCI -Arm circumference; CHCI - chest circumference;

THCI - thigh circumference.

*Typical tests and basic situational game of basketball:* 

JPL - The jump from place to length; SAR<sub>1</sub>- Jump from place to height; SAR<sub>2</sub> - The high jump with the approach of one foot; R40m - Running(sprint) 40 meters; DFB - Deep Flexion before; SHBCD -Shooting the ball in cart in the same direction; SHBCC45<sup>0</sup> - Shooting the ball in the corner cart  $45^{0}$ .Measuring instruments are applied by:Trninić, S.1996; Jeličić, M., Sekulić, D., &Marinović, M. 2002. Data were processed with SPSS statistical software programine package version 16.0 for Windows, research latent structure of space that will be explored through factor analysis.

**Results:**Issues on which to resolve through factorial analysis which aims to the large number of variables related manifestos between them reduce them to a small number of independent latent variables, which may explain the relationship them between manifest variables analyzed.

**Table no. 1**The main characteristic roots and partsexplained common variance in morphological space

Component	Total	% of Variance	<i>Cumulative</i>
1	2.267	45.336	<sup>%</sup> 45.336
2	1.136	22.722	68.058
3	.707	14.146	82.204
4	.542	10.832	93.036
5	.348	6.964	100.000

Decrease main morphological characteristic of latent variables in table. 1 are shown the characteristic roots (Lambada) and partial contribution (%) and their cumulatively explaining the variability in general. According to method Hottelingutand Criterion CG (Gutman-Kaiser), two main components are extracted, which explain 68.05% of variance genera. The first characteristic root of the variance explained 45.33% of the overall system, the second cure the root of the variance explained 22.72% of genera.

Table no. 2Matrix components and cummunalities

	1	2
BOWE	. 690	.213
	. 788	152
BOHE		
ARCI	.806	.263
	.720	354
CHCI		
THCI	.209	.935

In table no. 2 projections have realized significant body weight, body height, arm circumference and chest circumference of the coefficient of .690 to .806. In the second component, thigh circumference is defined with high coefficient of .935.

 Table no. 3 Matrix of parallel projections

	1	2
BOWE	. 304	134
BOHE	. 348	.188
ARCI	.356	.231
CHCI	.318	311
THCI	010	.823

Oblim first factor is defined by body weight, body height, arm circumference and chest circumference coefficient of .304 to .356. On the basis of such projections first factor can be interpreted as a general *general factor for growth and development*. In the second factor is designed thigh circumference with high coefficient of .823. The second factor can be defined as *transverse body factor*.

Table no. 4Matrix of correlation between morphologicalfactors

Component	1	2
1	1.00	.000
2	0	1.000

Under inter correlation matrix of latent factors (tab. 4) the first factor to the second factor does not have significant correlations (.000). Based on this we can conclude that the factors are independent of each other.

Component	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %
1	2.655	37.935	37.935
2	1.256	17.945	55.880
3	1.101	15.726	71.606
4	.881	12.587	84.192
5	.582	8.314	92.506
6	.481	6.868	99.374
7	4.382	.626	100.000

 Table no. 5 The main characteristic roots of basic motor and situational variables

In table no. 5 presents the characteristic roots (Lambada), as well as partial contribution (%) and their cumulatively explaining the variability in general. According to Criterion method Hottelingut and CG (Gutman-Kaiser), are extracted three main components, which explain 71.60% of variance genera. The first characteristic root explains 37.93% of the variance of the overall system, the second root explains 17.94%, while the third root explains 15.73% of the total variance.

 Table no. 6 Matix components and cummunalities

	1	2	3
JPL	.690	.361	578
SAR 1	.907	248	.179
SAR 2	.896	251	.185
R 40 m	704	250	.267
BFB	.239	.725	478
SHBCD	.758	.608	.313
SHBCC45 <sup>°</sup>	457	.324	.798

Is presented in table 6 main components matrix with three factors and their cummunalities.

The first major component projections have realized significant tests the jump from place to length, jump from place to height, the high jump with the approach of one foot, running(sprint) 40 meters (.690 to .907). Jumping from place to test height has realized higher projection .907.

The second component is defined by the test deep flexion before and shooting the ball in cart in the same directioncoefficient of .608 to .725. The third component is defined by the test shooting the ball in the corner cart  $45^{0}$  optimal value of .798.

	1	2	3
JPL	.260	.208	014
SAR <sub>1</sub>	.341	198	.163
SAR <sub>2</sub>	.337	200	.168
R 40 m	265	018	.242
BFB	.090	.577	434
SHBCD	.014	.484	.284
SHBCC45 <sup>°</sup>	013	.258	.725

Table no. 7 Matrix of parallel projections

In tables 7 pralele projection matrix, which contains parallel projections of motor tests in oblimim factors. With ordinary inspection of this matrix we see that higher projections in the first factor realized the jump from place to length, jump from place to height, the high jump with the approach of one foot, running (sprint) 40 meters coefficient of -.265. till .337. So based on these projections, the first factor can be defined as factor of explosive strength and speed alternative lower limbs. In the second factor, higher projections are realized: deep flexion before and shooting the ball in cart in the same direction value of .484 till .577. Based on projections of tests designed the second factor can be interpreted as factor flexibility and accuracy (precision) situational. In the third factor has realized higher projections test shooting the ball in the corner cart 450. The third factor can be defined as a factor of precision shooting.

 Table no. 8Matrix of correlation between motor factors

Component	1	2	3
1	1.000	.000	.000
2		1.000	.000
3			1.000

On the face of matrix inter correlation oblim motor factors (table no. 8) shows that there is no significant correlation between them that namely factors that are independent of each other.

## **Discussion and conclusion**

Based on the goals outlined in this paper can conclude that latent factors were extracted, which is usedfactorial analysis andhave won two latent morphological factors who was appointed as: *Generalfactor for growth anddevelopment; Factor transverse body.* While factoring the basic motor testshave won three of situational factors which are labeled as: *Factor of explosive strength and speedalternative lowerlimbs; Factor flexibility and accuracy (precision) situational; Factor ofprecision shooting.* Conclusions reached in this paper that the factorial structure defined space important factors in the morphological characteristics and basic motor testsand the typical game of basketball to junior players.

#### **References:**

- Aruković, Z.(2013). Canonical relations morphological features, motor abilities and test with situational basketball players. CRNOGORSKA SPORTSKA AKADEMIJA, "Sport Mont" no. 37, 38, 39, pp.580-583.
- Jeličić, M., Sekulić, D., & Marinović, M. (2002). Anthropometric characteristics of high level European junior basketball players. Collegium antropologicum, 26: pp. 69-76.
- Hofman, J.R., &Maresh, C.M. (2000).Physiology of basketball. In Garrett WE &Kirkendall D T (eds.), Exercise and Sport Science, pp. 733-744.
- Kiki, A. E. A., Ibrahim M. M.(2015). The structural factor of the body components of male high level basketball players as a selection limitans. Journal of American Science;11(5), New York USA, pp. 97-104.
- Kocić, J., Antonijević, S.(2013). The structure of motor abilities in basketball, CRNOGORSKA SPORTSKA AKADEMI-JA,,,Sport Mont" no. 37, 38, 39, pp. 364-369
- Kryeziu, A.(2013).Relations of some morphological features and basic motor and situational skills among 17 year old basketball players. BSSS 2013 1th BALKAN SYMPOSI-UM IN SPORT SCIENCES (Book of abstracts), Tetova, Maqedonia, Volume: I, pp. 8.
- Kryeziu A. (2016). Factorial structure of basic motor and situational tests of basketball players aged 14 - 15 years. IX International Congress "SPORT AND HEALTH" Tuzla, Bosnia and Herzegovina, pp. 202-207.
- Salihu, H., Asllani, I., Kryeziu, A. R., Elshani, Q. (2013). Factorial structure of certain movement tests of junior league basketball players. SportskiLogos .jun2013, Issue 20, p4-8.

- Trninić M. Jeličić, M. Foretić N. (2012). The relations between the morphological status, situational efficiency and sport achievement of elite junior basketball teams. PHYSICAL CULTURE; 66 (2): pp. 100-109.
- Trninić, S. (1996). Analiza i učenjekošarkaškeigre. [Analysis and learning of basketball game. In Croatian]. Pula: Vikta.
- Trninić, S., Karalejić, M., Jakovljević, S. & Jelaska, I. (2010). Structural analysis of knowledge based on specific attributes of the game of basketball. Physical Culture; 64 (2): pp 22-41.
- Vasiljev R, Vasiljev IA.Ilic D. (2003) Characteristics of Pressure Under Feet in Typical Jump of Young Basketball Players with Various Morphological Types of Lower Limbs. In 6th Symposium on Footwear Biomechanics, ISB Technical Group on Footwear Biomechanics. Queenstown New Zealand. University of Otago, pp. 95-96.